EURAM - PARIS 2016 – CALL FOR TOPIC

INSTITUTIONAL CHANGE, POWER, RESISTANCE AND CRITICAL MANAGEMENT

CORRESPONDING PROPONENTS:
Edoardo Mollona, University of Bologna, edoardo.mollona@unibo.it
Luigi Maria Sicca, University of Naples - Federico II, luigimaria.sicca@unina.it

CHAIR
Ilaria Boncori, University of Essex, iboncori@essex.ac.uk
Jean-François Chanlat, Paris Dauphine, Jean-François.CHANLAT@dauphine.fr
Stewart Clegg, University of Technology, Sydney, Stewart.Clegg@uts.edu.au
Alessia Contu, UMass Boston, alessia.contu@umb.edu
Xavier Deroy, NEOMA Business School Reims Campus, xavierderoy@gmail.com
Andrea Fumagalli, University of Pavia, afuma@eco.unipv.it
David Levy, UMass Boston, David.Levy@umb.edu
Mariella Pandolfi, Université du Québec à Montréal, mariella.pandolfi@gmail.com
Luca Solari, University of Milan, solari@unimi.it

FOREWARD
This track addresses the paradox of institutional change, the resistance that the change faces and the contradictions that it incites or reveals. Given the scope of the issue, we articulate our call for papers in two segments:

• the first specifically addresses issues of institutional change whereas
• the second tackles the contradictions that institutional change both faces and generates.

INSTITUTIONAL CHANGE
Institutionalist and management theories are more concerned with regularities rather than events, crises involving uncertainty. Paradoxically enough, institutions defined through their persistence, seem increasingly to be dominated by multi factorial causes of change. The track explores this apparent paradox and the two sides of organizations understood as persisting institutions and changing social systems.

Our purpose is to understand why institutions and organizations change (or why they do not), despite their inertia and their domination over individual members through the routines and regularities. We look for papers that contribute to the advance of institutional theory by coupling persistence and change.

How do individual actors or coalitions of actors (traders, entrepreneurs, managers, ordinary employees) and actants (such as Eurozone crises) contribute to institutional dynamics? To what extent are managers effectively monitoring institutional change? What is the role of democracy and cooperation to initiate and explain change?

Empirically we welcome papers about non mimetic behaviors within cultural contexts of order. We also welcome papers that support the domination of rules, norms and mimetic processes to explain change.

Theoretically, this track strives to investigate:

• The components of interpretive contexts dealing with institutional change.
• The role of deliberate and non deliberate changes in social innovation.
• The conflict between democracy and institutional isomorphism in the making of change.
When addressing institutional change, literature emphasizes the role of power. In this perspective, institutional agency is understood as both the power to change institutions and the power to resist change.

The track investigates the connected issues of institutional change, power and resistance. This latter both interpreted as the institutional work of elites that try to maintain their hegemony and exploitative power and the one enacted by dominated actors that resist and counteract exploitation and the establishing of hegemony.

We invite papers that:

- theoretically engage with the concepts of power, exploitation and resistance;
- empirically analyze how resistance, exploitation and power materialize in organizational life and in institutional fields;
- offer a critical discussion of managerial and economic mainstream analysis and, for this very reason, is in itself an act of resistance to west-centric and colonial rhetorics.

More specifically, we call papers that investigate:

- Critical approach to management.
- Art as a form of resistance.
- Resistance in academia
- Power asymmetries in and around organizations.
- Dialectical tension between inclusion of, and resistance to, diversity in organizations through an historical approach.
- Neo-Gramscian approach in management and organization studies.
- Neo-Marxism and the evolution of forms of exploitation and its impact on life.
- Resistance as preservation of vocabularies and categories.
- Corporate political action and lobbying influence on institutional change.
- The issues of control and domination through the comparison with another, alternative critical option oriented towards the model of spontaneous order (Self-Organization).
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